

Erratum: Diabatic-ramping spectroscopy of many-body excited states [Phys. Rev. A **90**, 062334 (2014)]

Bryce Yoshimura, W. C. Campbell, and J. K. Freericks
(Received 12 September 2017; published 27 September 2017)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.96.039902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.039902)

We discovered an error in the units of time in the paper. The time units need to be multiplied by N , the number of ions in the crystal. Since all results in the paper are for $N = 400$, all time values must be multiplied by 400. The easiest fix for this, is to change the time-axis labels in all relevant figures (listed below) to $tJ_0/400$. These corrections affect the following figures: (i) Fig. 4 (the horizontal axis becomes $tJ_0/400$, the red curve is for $\tau = 4000/J_0$, and the green curve for $\tau = 10,000/J_0$); (ii) Fig. 6 ($\tau_d J_0 = 4000$ in the label); (iii) Fig. 7 [the horizontal axis of Figs. 7(b) and 7(c) and the labels become $\tau_d J_0 = 4000$]; (iv) Fig. 9 (labels $\tau_{\text{ramp}} J_0$ are equal to 400 for black, 800 for red, and 1600 for green, and also in the caption); and (v) Figs. 10, 11, and 13 (the horizontal axis becomes $t_{\text{meas}} J_0/400$). In addition, the following changes need to be made in the text: Five lines below Eq. (14), the sentences should read, “We used decoherence times of $\tau_d J_0 = 10\,000$ and 4000 in Fig. 4(a). The total time during our simulations is approximately 32 ms, this is with $J_0 = 2\pi \times 1.6$ kHz.” In Sec. III, the first paragraph discusses $\tau_{\text{ramp}} J_0$ values, which need to be multiplied by 400 to be 1600 and 800. Also, the second sentence of the first paragraph should read, “We used $J_0 = 2\pi \times 1.6$ kHz.” On page 10, the first paragraph of simulated data discusses $\tau_d J_0$ values, which must be multiplied by 400 to become 10 000 and 400. On page 11, $\tau_d J_0 = 10\,000$. These corrections do not change any of our conclusions, but they do make some of the run times a bit long for current state-of-the-art experiments if J_0 is on the order of $2\pi \times 1.6$ kHz. For larger J_0 , this issue becomes less important.